

# Fund finance lending: a practical checklist

Insights - 24/01/2024

*This article appears as a chapter in Global Legal Insights Fund Finance 2024.*

## Introduction

In this chapter, we have tried to set out some of the key issues that a lender and its counsel need to consider when entering into a typical subscription or capital call finance transaction. Given the market turbulence experienced in the fund finance industry in the last 12 months, the issues we have highlighted in this chapter are more relevant than ever.

We have looked at these issues from the perspective of a lender and as its local fund finance counsel. In other words, we have assumed that the fund has been formed in an international fund domicile, such as the Cayman Islands, Luxembourg or Ireland, and have set out some of the issues that will be relevant for a lender in order to establish that:

- the fund has the capacity to enter into the transaction and perform its obligations thereunder;
- the fund has performed the steps necessary to enable it to enter into the transaction and to ensure the transaction and the relevant finance documents are binding on it;
- the relevant finance documents are enforceable against the fund as a matter of the laws of the jurisdiction in which the fund is formed;
- the transaction and the fund's obligations under the relevant finance documents will not conflict with the fund's constitutional documents or the law of its jurisdiction of formation; and
- all security granted over the assets of the fund in relation to the transaction is first-ranking and properly perfected.

The issues outlined in this chapter are not intended to be exhaustive. In particular, there will be jurisdictional and deal-specific issues that will need to be considered and dealt with. Nor is this

a substitute for legal advice. Lenders and funds would be well advised to seek the advice of their legal counsel at the outset of any transaction to ensure these matters are properly addressed on a deal-by-deal basis.

## The fund structure

### Framework of the fund and its investment structure

Of course, where the fund (and, if applicable, its general partner) is formed will determine: (i) the legislative framework of the relevant jurisdiction that underpins the fund; and (ii) which local fund finance counsel (if any) will need to be involved in the transaction. This will therefore be an important issue for the lender (or its lead counsel) to establish.

However, prior to undertaking a detailed legal analysis of the fund and its constitutional documents, it is important for the lender to understand the fund framework and the way in which the investors' commitments are to be contributed to the fund. The significance of understanding the fund framework is increasingly important, as fund structures become more complex and bespoke. In particular, in recent years we have witnessed a steady increase in deals where closed-ended funds are structured with investors funding a proportion of their commitment with a debt commitment, which, when called, is evidenced in the form of a note or debt advance. This chapter will focus on investors that have capital commitments, but the nature of investors' commitments (be that capital or debt) would need to be determined at the outset of any deal. The fund framework will likely influence a lender's analysis on the scope of the obligor group and the security required in order to ensure there is no leakage of value out of the lender's collateral. Some relevant considerations will be:

- Does the fund have any feeder fund vehicles that invest into the fund? The value of, and potential recourse to, the ultimate investors' uncalled capital commitments needs to be understood and the lender may wish to consider making any such feeder fund an obligor under the facility, and requesting that the feeder fund grants security over the uncalled capital commitments of the investors in the feeder fund and the right the feeder fund has (or the right the feeder fund's general partner has) to make and enforce capital calls on those investors.
- Does the fund have any parallel funds? Generally, parallel funds invest and divest in the same investments as the main fund, at the same time and on the same terms.
- Does the fund have any alternative investment vehicles (**AIVs**)? It may be advantageous for a fund to establish AIVs to hold certain investments for tax, regulatory or other reasons. In such circumstances, a portion of an investor's capital commitment may be invested in the AIV (thereby reducing the investor's capital commitment in the main fund).
- Does the fund have any co-investment or blocker vehicles?

If the fund has parallel funds, AIVs or co-investment vehicles, the lender may wish to treat them in the same way as suggested for feeder funds above. Alternatively, if no parallel funds, AIVs or co-investment vehicles have yet been established, but if the fund has the right to establish them in the future under the fund's constitutional documents, the lender may wish to include a restriction in the subscription line facility agreement prohibiting their establishment unless: (i) the lender provides prior written consent; and/or (ii) those vehicles become obligors and grant capital call and account security upon their establishment.

These points will also be relevant from a capacity and due authorisation perspective, as any such feeder funds or AIVs that are introduced into the obligor group will need to be diligenced by the lender in the same way as the main fund. Whilst it may therefore be possible that the obligor group extends to other entities in the fund group, for simplicity, we have discussed various points in this chapter by reference to the fund (and, where relevant, its general partner) only, on the assumption that the fund (and, if applicable, its general partner) is the only obligor and security provider.

## Legal personality of the fund entity

In addition to the fund structure, it is important for the lender to understand the legal personality of the fund and any other relevant entities in the fund group and, if relevant, any regulatory regime applicable to them. The type of legal personality will determine the relevant steps and processes that the fund will need to complete to ensure that it has the capacity and authority to enter into the transaction. For example, some relevant questions will be:

- Is the fund structured as a limited partnership, a company, a limited liability company, an Irish collective asset management vehicle (**ICAV**) or some other legal entity?
- Is the fund subject to a regulatory regime and if so, what implications does this have? For example, in a Cayman Islands context, is the fund a "private fund" under Cayman Islands' Private Funds Law? If it is, evidence of registration of the fund under the Private Funds Law should be obtained. Likewise, in an Irish context, if the fund is an ICAV or an investment limited partnership (**ILP**), evidence of its registration and authorisation by the Central Bank of Ireland (**CBI**) should be obtained.
- Is the fund structured as a regulated investment vehicle? Depending on the type of investment vehicle, certain regulatory restrictions on fund borrowing, or on the ability of the fund to grant security or guarantees, may apply.
- Does the fund fall within the scope of the EU Alternative Investment Fund Managers Directive? In certain circumstances, Luxembourg collective investment undertakings that do not qualify as UCITS (hereinafter referred to as a **Luxembourg AIF**) and Irish collective investment undertakings that do not qualify as UCITS (hereinafter referred to as an **Irish AIF**) must appoint an alternative investment fund manager (**AIFM**), and a Luxembourg AIF's

and an Irish AIF's financial instruments are required to be held in custody with an Irish or Luxembourg-based depositary (as applicable). As mentioned below, this can have implications for a subscription facility.

- Has the fund been constituted with the ability to create compartments? One Luxembourg fund option available to managers is to utilise a Reserved Alternative Investment Fund (RAIF). One of the additional features available to RAIFs is to create compartments, i.e. statutorily ring-fenced pools of assets and liabilities. Likewise, in an Irish context, ICAVs and ILPs can be established as umbrella funds with statutory segregated liability between sub-funds, and contractual ring-fencing can be used in the context of an Irish section 110 special purpose vehicle. The lender will wish to diligence the effect of such compartmentalisation on the fund's capacity and authority to enter into the finance documents and the value of related guarantees and security.
- To put legal personality into context – if, for example, the fund is formed as a Cayman Islands exempted limited partnership or a Luxembourg limited partnership, it must have a general partner (and it may actually have a series of intermediate general partners). It is important to understand which entity is the ultimate general partner. If the fund is formed as an Irish limited partnership, it must also have a general partner. Cayman Islands exempted limited partnerships, Irish limited partnerships and Luxembourg special limited partnerships (SCSp) do not have separate legal personality – they each enter into documents through their general partner (or, in relation to an SCSp, more rarely through the agency of any manager to which such power has been delegated), which undertakes the conduct of the fund's business and, as a general partner, has unlimited liability such that, in the event that the assets of the fund are insufficient, the general partner is liable for all of the debts and obligations of the fund.

## Any delegated authority or investment committee

The type of legal personality of the fund, and the extent of any delegated authorities, will, in its relevant jurisdiction, largely dictate the steps that the fund is required to take to ensure it has capacity to enter into, and has properly approved and authorised its entry into, the transaction. However, the lender will need to be aware of other points that may influence this. Some relevant points include:

- Has the fund established an investment committee that needs to provide consent to the transaction? Some funds establish committees that, in addition to usual board/management approval, are required to approve certain business activities of the fund. Sometimes this may include incurring indebtedness (particularly incurring indebtedness above or outside certain agreed parameters set out in the fund's constitutional documents).
- Is there an investment or portfolio management agreement or investment advisory

agreement in place? If there is, has the ability to issue capital calls on behalf of the fund been delegated to the investment manager, the AIFM, the administrator or the investment advisor? If so, any relevant approvals should be obtained from the investment manager, the AIFM, the administrator or the investment advisor to ensure the transaction is duly authorised, and consideration may also need to be given as to whether the investment manager, the AIFM or the investment advisor ought to be party to the facility agreement or a side letter and/or grant security over its right, title and interest to issue capital calls to the fund's investors.

- Since a Luxembourg AIF's and an Irish AIF's financial instruments must be held in custody with a Luxembourg-based or an Irish-based depository (as applicable), consideration ought to be given as to whether any approval of the depository is required. The depository agreement may require that the fund notify or obtain approval from the depository in order for the fund to enter into the finance documents.

## Due diligence

The subscription facility product has consistently demonstrated its resilience and has a strong historic performance with very few defaults. However, like all financial products, subscription facilities are not immune to fraud, and in our view, fraud remains an area of lender risk. Turbulent markets can create conditions that unscrupulous parties may seek to exploit. Double down on due diligence. As a general point, a significant part of a lender's due diligence analysis will likely be focused on an assessment of the identity and credit quality of each investor in the fund. This will allow the lender to establish which investors will be included in the borrowing base calculation and then set the borrowing base at the appropriate level.<sup>[i]</sup>

However, to ensure that the financing and the fund's obligations under the relevant finance documents will not conflict with the law of its jurisdiction of formation or, more pertinently, with the constitutional documents of the fund and (if any) its general partner, a detailed documentation review and analysis should be undertaken. We set out below some of the key documentary due diligence points a lender and its counsel should consider when reviewing a limited partnership agreement (**LPA**), where the fund is a limited partnership, investor subscription documents and related side letters.

## Deconstructing the LPA

Some key points a lender should consider in the LPA in order to determine whether the proposed subscription financing transaction does not conflict with (or, indeed, is not prejudiced by) the terms thereof, are:

### Is borrowing/indebtedness permitted?

The LPA should specifically permit the fund to incur indebtedness (be that borrowing or

guaranteeing obligations of an affiliate, should the financing structure require), and any limitations on the purpose, amount or term of such indebtedness should be noted. The lender will need to be aware of any such limitations when agreeing the parameters of these in the facility agreement.

## What are the mechanics for capital call notices?

The provisions setting out how capital can be called (including the notice provisions and time frame for payment by investors) and the purpose for which the general partner is permitted to issue capital call notices to the investors need to be understood: specifically, whether the LPA permits capital call notices to be issued in order to repay principal debt, interest accrued thereon and costs related thereto is a relevant consideration, as is whether the LPA makes it clear how the total amount specified in a capital call notice issued in order to fund the repayment of indebtedness would be allocated among the investors.

## Is the fund permitted to grant security over the investors' uncalled capital commitments, the right to make and enforce capital calls and its assets generally?

It should be checked that under the LPA, the fund is permitted to grant security over these assets. If the LPA (or any side letter (see below)) designates any investor as a confidential investor, whose identity cannot be disclosed or in relation to whom capital call rights cannot be assigned, these investors will likely be excluded from the borrowing base.

In certain circumstances, in particular for tax, regulatory or ERISA reasons, a feeder fund may be prohibited from guaranteeing and directly granting security to a lender to support the main fund's obligations under a subscription facility. For example, in an Irish context, certain regulated funds are prohibited from guaranteeing the obligations of third parties. In such circumstances, a cascading pledge, where the feeder fund grants security over its investors' uncalled capital commitments to the fund borrower, which in turn grants security to the lender over its rights under the security granted to it by the feeder fund (in addition to the fund borrower granting security over its rights to receive and call for the uncalled capital commitments of its investors, including the feeder fund), may have to be considered and pledge agreements drafted accordingly.

The LPA should also be checked to establish whether the LPA permits (or at least does not prohibit and permits by way of the general powers) the limited partners paying capital contributions into a specific bank account over which the lender under the finance documents takes a security interest.

## What is the term of the fund?

This should be considered in light of the proposed maturity date of the subscription facility. Given that, under the LPA, the fund will be dissolved at the end of its term, the lender should

ensure that the maturity date of their subscription facility falls within the term of the fund.

## In what circumstances may the fund be terminated and dissolved prior to the end of the term?

The applicable law of the relevant jurisdiction in which the fund is formed will likely set out how a fund can be terminated and the circumstances that result in such termination. For example, in the context of a Cayman Islands exempted limited partnership, Cayman Islands law states that a fund may be voluntarily wound up at the time or on the occurrence of the events specified in the LPA, or otherwise (unless otherwise specified in the LPA) upon the passing of a resolution by all of the general partners of the fund and not less than two-thirds of its limited partners. An Irish limited partnership may be terminated by agreement between the general partner and a simple majority of the limited partners. Luxembourg limited partnerships can be liquidated if so resolved by limited partners representing three-quarters of the partnership's interests, unless otherwise provided in the LPA. The LPA may modify the starting position at law (to the extent permitted in the relevant jurisdiction) and will usually also set out other additional early termination events. The LPA will typically provide a contractual "waterfall" for distribution of the fund's assets upon its liquidation, and it should be established whether non-affiliated creditors of the fund are at the top of this waterfall.

## What is the investment/commitment period and in what circumstances may it be suspended or terminated?

The right of the general partner to call capital from investors will likely be restricted upon expiry, suspension or termination of the investment/commitment period. Examples of potential suspension or termination events within an LPA are: expiry of the investment/commitment period; the occurrence of a key person event; upon the affirmative vote of a majority of investors; and removal of the general partner for cause. It should be established whether, notwithstanding such restrictions, capital may still be called from investors after suspension or termination of the investment/commitment period in order to fund repayment of the principal outstanding under the subscription facility and related accrued interest and costs.

## Has each investor agreed that it will honour capital calls without deduction, set-off, counterclaim or defence?

A lender will want to ensure that each investor's payment obligations to fund capital calls are not capable of being reduced or extinguished by any claim that the investor has against the fund and/or the general partner. If this is not addressed in the LPA, such waivers could instead be provided in investor consent letters or side letters. In respect of funds formed in jurisdictions (such as the Cayman Islands and Ireland) that require notice of security over capital call rights to be delivered to investors in order to fix the priority of such security, such notice will prevent set-offs arising after the date of service of the notice (although it will not affect any potential set-offs that might have arisen prior to the date of service of the notice). Luxembourg pledge agreements will include an acknowledgment of the lender's reliance on such waivers in the LPA



(or other fund documents).

## Are there overcall provisions in the LPA for any defaulting investor or excused investor?

These are provisions that mean that if an investor has defaulted or is excused from making a capital contribution to fund certain investments, the fund is permitted to call capital from the non-defaulting/non-excused investors up to the amount of such non-defaulting/non-excused investors' unfunded capital commitments. Often, the overcall obligations of other investors are capped under the LPA. For example, they may be limited to the lesser of the relevant investor's unfunded capital commitments and a certain percentage of its total capital contribution. Any such limitation should be noted. It is usual for any defaulting investors to be excluded from the borrowing base.

The excuse provisions in the LPA should be checked to understand whether the capital commitment of an investor that is excused or opts out from making a capital contribution to fund a certain investment would remain unaffected (and so available) for the purposes of repayment of the principal outstanding under the subscription facility and related accrued interest and costs.

## Recallable distributions

It should be ascertained whether, under the LPA, the general partner has the ability to "recall" distributions that have been made to investors and, if it does, what the limitations and terms of the recall are. The relevant considerations for a lender are likely to be: whether these distributed and recallable amounts increase the amount of the unused capital commitment of the relevant investor; and whether these distributed and recallable amounts will be available for recall to allow the fund to repay principal, accrued interest and other costs in respect of the subscription facility (including in circumstances where the investment/commitment period has been suspended or terminated). If such distributed and recallable amounts are not so available, a lender may consider excluding these amounts from the borrowing base.

## Transfer provisions – limited partners and general partner

The transfer provisions in the LPA should be reviewed to understand in what circumstances an investor may transfer its interest in the fund, grant security over its interest in the fund or withdraw as a limited partner in the fund and, for example, whether prior consent of the general partner is required. As noted above, the identity of the investors in the fund will be an important consideration for a lender in its credit evaluation and in setting the borrowing base level. Changes to the identity of a limited partner may also have know-your-customer and compliance issues for a lender.

The circumstances in which a general partner may transfer ("transfer" is usually a defined term within an LPA and it is common for this to be widely defined to include, amongst other things,



the granting of a security interest over the general partner's interest in the fund) its general partner interest in the fund (and whether this needs a certain percentage of investors to give prior consent) or in which the general partner may be removed or replaced (with or without cause) should also be checked. The identity of the general partner will also likely be important to the lender and any change, unless approved by the lender, is likely to trigger an event of default under the subscription facility.

Where these events will cause the investment/commitment period to be suspended or terminated, as mentioned above, it should be established whether capital calls may still be made to repay principal, accrued interest and costs of the subscription facility during such suspension or after such termination (as the case may be).

### Are there any key person events under the LPA?

The LPA may name certain key persons who must dedicate a certain amount of their time to the fund during the investment period and/or term of the fund. If any such specified key persons fail to do so, this may constitute a "key person event" under the LPA. The occurrence of a key person event may cause the investment/commitment period to be suspended or terminated. If this is the case, it should be checked whether capital calls may still be made to repay principal, accrued interest and costs of the subscription line facility during such suspension or after such termination (as the case may be).

### No third-party beneficiaries/third-party rights provisions

Any "no third-party beneficiaries" or "third-party rights" clauses ought to be checked to ensure that these provisions are consistent with, and do not purport to restrict, the fund and the general partner from granting security over the capital call rights or the lender's reliance on limited partner waivers of any defence, set-off or counterclaim to capital calls. Under the laws of some international fund jurisdictions (such as the Cayman Islands), it is also possible to confer third-party rights on a person who is not party to a contract in order for that person to enforce contractual rights as if it had been party to the contract, i.e. in these jurisdictions it is possible that an LPA could be drafted in a way that allows a lender to directly enforce LPA capital call rights against investors in the same manner as if the lender had been party to the LPA.

### Side letters

The terms of any side letters should be reviewed to confirm the absence of provisions that adversely affect any of the findings arising from the LPA due diligence review or any factors that would otherwise conflict with the obligations of the fund or that could potentially prejudice the rights of the lender under the subscription facility or its related security.

For example, we have seen a number of instances where side letters have expressly prohibited the fund from granting a security interest over a particular investor's uncalled capital

commitment.

Some additional points to be considered when reviewing side letters are:

- Note any most-favoured nation (**MFN**) provisions. These provisions give an investor (the “subject investor”) the right to select that it will get the benefit of provisions that another investor has the benefit of, and that are on better terms than the terms that would otherwise apply to the subject investor. There are sometimes parameters to the exercise by the subject investor of its MFN right. For example, it may need to exercise its MFN right within a certain time period, or the subject investor’s MFN right may require it to accept a group of provisions that another investor has the benefit of relating to a certain issue, and prevent the subject investor from “cherry-picking” only certain of those provisions.
- Note any provisions that modify the transfer provisions contained in the LPA.
- Note any confidentiality/confidential investor provisions.
- Note any sovereign immunity provisions. It is not unusual for institutional investors to be connected to the state (for example, public body pension funds and sovereign wealth funds may make up part of the investor base in a fund). These entities may have sovereign immunity protection by nature of their connection with the state, under certain jurisdictions. The relevant investor may wish to reserve these sovereign immunity protections in a side letter, in line with its internal investment policy or other considerations. The impact of any sovereign immunity on the requirement for the relevant investor to comply with capital calls should be considered.

## Security

Another important element that a lender and its local fund finance counsel need to consider when entering into a fund finance transaction relates to the security package. Generally, subscription facilities are secured against the uncalled capital commitments of the investors in the fund, including: (i) the right to make capital calls on investors in respect of their uncalled capital commitments, together with rights to enforce payments of them; and (ii) the right to receive the proceeds of such capital calls. It will generally also include security over the bank account into which investors are required to deposit their capital contributions. The type of security to be created over the capital commitments will be a factor of the legal regime in the jurisdiction of formation of the fund and the governing law of the relevant security document.

For example, in the case of a Cayman Islands exempted limited partnership, where the security is governed by the laws of the Cayman Islands, the security over the right to make capital calls and the right to receive proceeds of capital contributions will technically be granted by way of an assignment by way of security by the fund (acting through its general partner) of those rights as they arise under the fund’s Cayman Islands law-governed LPA and any applicable

investor subscription documents. Likewise, in the case of an Irish limited partnership, where the security is governed by Irish law, the security over the right to make capital calls and the right to receive proceeds of capital contributions will technically be granted by way of an assignment by way of security by the fund (acting through its general partner) of those rights as they arise under the fund's Irish law-governed LPA and any applicable investor subscription documents. For Luxembourg funds the security package is the same, albeit that its legal characterisation is that of a "pledge" rather than an assignment by way of security.

## Prior security and/or existing indebtedness within the fund structure

It will be important for the lender to establish whether there is any existing security over the capital call rights and the capital call account they intend to take security over. Given the size of some fund structures, it is not uncommon for certain assets within the fund structure to be subject to existing security in favour of third parties. Clearly to the extent that there is any existing security, this will need to be considered to determine whether it will prejudice the lender's position. The following ought to be considered:

- Can any security or lien searches be carried out to determine the extent of any existing security? For example, in the Cayman Islands, there is no public registration of security interests (other than in respect of security over certain assets such as real estate, aircraft and ships), but the Register of Mortgages and Charges of the fund's general partner (if the general partner is a Cayman Islands company) should be obtained and inspected to see whether it contains any details of any prior security interests that have been granted by the fund over its assets (although this will not be conclusive evidence of the granting of any security, since the entry in the Register of Mortgages and Charges is an internal register only of the Cayman Islands company and making an entry in it does not affect validity or priority of the security). Similarly, in Luxembourg, except with respect to real estate, there is also no publicly available record of pledges or other security interests granted by a Luxembourg entity. In Ireland, a search of the Irish Companies Registration Office (in respect of a general partner (if the general partner is an Irish company)) or a search of the CBI's Register of Charges created by an ICAV (if applicable) should be obtained and inspected to see whether it contains any details of any prior security interests that have been granted by the fund over its assets.
- To the extent that there is any prior security (over any assets), those security documents ought to be reviewed to determine the scope of the security and any applicable negative pledges contained within the security documents (and whether those negative pledges just pertain to the assets secured under that security document or to all the assets of the fund).
- To the extent that the fund has borrowed from any of the fund's investors, the fund's obligation to repay any such investor loans ought to be contractually subordinated to the debt owed to the fund finance lender. In addition, if the fund is a Luxembourg AIF, its

financial instruments must be held in custody with a Luxembourg-based depository. A release from the depository may be required in circumstances where the terms of the depository agreement provide the depository with a security interest over the bank account into which investors' capital commitments are deposited.

- If there is any existing financing to an investment manager of the fund, the investment manager may have granted security over its right to receive its management fee. Often, the terms of a subscription facility agreement will seek to subordinate payment of any management fee to the manager following the occurrence of an event of default under the subscription facility. This may be incompatible with any such existing financing to the investment manager.
- Have any investors granted security over their limited partnership interests in the fund? If the fund is formed as a Cayman Islands exempted limited partnership, this ought to be established by reviewing the Register of Security Interests of the fund. If an investor is an Irish company, a search of the Irish Companies Registration Office against that investor could be obtained or if the investor is an ICAV, a search of the CBI's Register of Charges created by an ICAV (if applicable) could also be obtained and checked. If investors have granted security, the implications of such security would need to be considered. There is no equivalent Register of Security Interests for Luxembourg funds, but the fund would be expected to reference in its internal, limited partner register, any pledge of limited partnership interests that has been notified to it.

## Priority/perfection of security

A fund finance lender will want to ensure that if the fund defaults on any of its obligations under the facility agreement or becomes insolvent, the lender's security interests will constitute first-ranking and enforceable security interests over the relevant assets of the fund, such that the lender could enforce its security and apply the enforcement proceeds in satisfaction of the obligations that the fund owes to the lender, in priority to the fund's other creditors.

In order to ensure this, the lender should consider at the outset how its collateral is perfected and how it ensures that its rights to the collateral have priority over third parties. The relevant steps that need to be taken will largely depend upon the jurisdiction of formation of the fund (and its general partner), the location of the collateral, and the nature of the collateral (as mentioned above, for a subscription facility the collateral will usually be security over the uncalled capital commitments of investors and security over the bank account into which the capital commitments are deposited). Some issues the lender should consider are:

- Are any security registrations required in the jurisdiction in which the fund or its general partner are formed or in the jurisdiction that governs the finance documents (either on a public register or on internal registers)?
- If security registrations are needed, when should the registrations be made and are there any

time periods within which the registrations need to be made?

- What other perfection or priority steps need to be completed?
- What are the implications and risks to the lender of not making the security registrations and/or taking the other perfection or priority steps?
- How can the lender ensure that its security ranks in priority to other creditors of the fund?
- Does notice of the security over the uncalled capital commitments need to be given to the investors for perfection or priority purposes? If notice does need to be given, consider:
  - What form does the notice need to take?
  - When does the notice need to be given?
  - How is notice to be delivered (for example, is there any procedure for delivery that must be followed under the fund's constitutional documents)?
  - Are any acknowledgments required from the investors?
  - What evidence of delivery of the notices should be obtained from the fund?
  - Does notice need to be given to investors if the lender is taking an assignment of the facility and related security from another lender?

## Enforcement of security

Another crucial point for fund finance lenders is to know how the security interests over the uncalled capital commitments of investors (and the fund's bank accounts) will be enforced upon the occurrence of an event of default under the facility agreement, and in particular, on the fund's and/or general partner's insolvency. Key points to consider will be:

- Will any power of attorney issued in favour of a lender/security agent to issue capital calls survive the fund's/general partner's insolvency?
- Can the lender/security agent exercise any remedies by stepping into the shoes of the fund/general partner and call capital from all investors?
- Should the capital calls made on enforcement be carried out on a *pro rata* basis, pursuant to the provisions of the fund's constitutional documents and the relevant subscription documents, taking into account any existing investor excuse rights under the LPA?

## Document execution

Proper execution of the relevant finance documents is also a key component to ensure that the finance documents are enforceable against the fund and/or its general partner. Generally, the lender's lead counsel will be responsible for ensuring that the finance documents are

enforceable as a matter of the laws that govern them (unless, in the case of any security documents, these are governed by the law of the jurisdiction of formation of the fund, in which case the lender's local counsel will undertake this task with respect to those security documents). Local counsel input will be needed to ensure that the finance documents are compatible with the laws of the jurisdiction of formation of the fund. The lender's local counsel will also check that the documents have been executed in accordance with the requirements of the jurisdiction in which the fund is formed, the constitutional documents of the fund and the relevant corporate authorisations. The following ought to be considered:

- Certain jurisdictions may have jurisdiction-specific requirements and formalities for execution. Is there any particular form that the execution blocks need to take? Are there any witnessing and/or notarisation requirements?
- Who is signing on behalf of the fund and/or general partner?
- Have the responsible officers that are signing on behalf of the fund and/or general partner been authorised in corporate authorities of the general partner?
- Have such corporate authorisations been validly passed?
- If the investment manager, the AIFM or the investment advisor is signing on behalf of the fund and/or general partner, is such delegation valid?
- Is any stamp duty or other tax or fee payable under the laws of the jurisdiction in which the fund is formed?

## Conclusion

The above outlines some of the structural, legal and practical considerations that lenders and their counsel ought to consider when advising on a subscription facility. As we have mentioned, this is by no means an exhaustive list and there will be many deal-specific issues that arise that will need to be considered on a case-by-case basis. However, the content in this chapter illustrates the myriad of issues that lenders (and their local counsel) need to think about when structuring and documenting a subscription facility in order to ensure that the lender's position is protected

[i] The "borrowing base" broadly caps the amount that may be outstanding under the subscription line facility at any time (together with hedging exposure and non-cash-backed letters of credit) to the lesser of: (a) the available commitments under the facility; and (b) the aggregate of the uncalled capital commitments of each eligible investor as multiplied by a specified advance rate that is attributed to that investor, based on its credit quality. Only "eligible" investors to which the lender attributes a certain credit score will be included in the

borrowing base calculations, with other investors in the fund being “excluded” investors, whose capital commitments do not form part of the borrowing base, or only being included (and then at a lower advance rate) if they meet certain additional information and due diligence requirements.

## About Ogier

Ogier is a professional services firm with the knowledge and expertise to handle the most demanding and complex transactions and provide expert, efficient and cost-effective services to all our clients. We regularly win awards for the quality of our client service, our work and our people.

## Disclaimer

This client briefing has been prepared for clients and professional associates of Ogier. The information and expressions of opinion which it contains are not intended to be a comprehensive study or to provide legal advice and should not be treated as a substitute for specific advice concerning individual situations.

Regulatory information can be found under [Legal Notice](#)

## Meet the Author



[James Heinicke](#)

Partner

[Cayman Islands](#)

E: [James.Heinicke@ogier.com](mailto:James.Heinicke@ogier.com)

T: [+1 345 815 1768](tel:+13458151768)

## Key Contacts





Laura Holtham

Partner

Ireland

E: [laura.holtham@ogier.com](mailto:laura.holtham@ogier.com)

T: [+ 353 1 639 3000](tel:+35316393000)



Jad Nader

Partner

Luxembourg Legal Services

E: [jad.nader@ogier.com](mailto:jad.nader@ogier.com)

T: [+352 2712 2047](tel:+35227122047)



David Nelson

Partner, 000

Hong Kong

E: [david.nelson@ogier.com](mailto:david.nelson@ogier.com)

T: [+852 3656 6018](tel:+85236566018)

## Related Services

[Fund Finance](#)

[Banking and Finance](#)

[Investment Funds](#)

[Managers and Sponsors](#)

[Legal](#)

## Related Sectors

[CAYLUX](#)

[CAYLUX Fund Finance](#)

[Funds Hub](#)